

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

•		CATES O.			TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
TO VEIGNAMO	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR					
AFFLICATION	08/25/99	REINBERG		Α :	303.522US1	
09/382.442	1347 247 24		7	E	AMINER	
O21186 SCHWEGMAN. L P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOLIS	38	MM92/1103 WOESSNER & KLUTH	,	BOOTH.R ART UNIT 2812	PAPER NUMBER	
1.1 T 1.4) April 11. or me		•		DATE MAILED:	11/03/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/382,442	REINBERG,	ALAN IV.
act - Astion Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary		2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Richard A. Booth	th the correspondence	e address
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w		
riod for Reply	PI Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
TATI ITORY PERIOD FOR IN	ON.	and a manus ha ti	mely filed
THE MAILING DATE OF THE	ATE 4 436 (a) In no event, I	lowever, may a repri	e will
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corafter timely.	nmunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory	minimum of thirty (30) day	the mailing date of this
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this example after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da	atutory period will apply and will exp	oire SIX (6) MONTHS from	TO (25 U.S.C. \$ 133)
 be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply 	will by statute, cause the applicati	on to become ABANDON	ED (35 U.S.U. 9 130).
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply	, will, = , =		
tatus	n·		
1) Responsive to confinding 2b) 2b)	This action is non-final.		as to the merits is
		matters, prosecution C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2	13.
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1990	•	
Disposition of Claims	lication.		
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-25,33 and	34 is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20,00			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rejected.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6.25. and 35-39 is/are			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction	n and/or election requiremer	it.	
8) Claims are subject to restricte			
Application Papers	Eveminer		
gill The specification is objected to by the	bloated to by the Examiner.		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the proposed drawing correction filed.	bjected to by the Etabrove	d b)□ disapproved.	
- and drawing correction mov	011		
11) The proposed drawing control 12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	for foreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	- haan'
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of the	e CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents ha	ave peen.
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
₁ ☐ received.	. a tal Number		
1. ☐ received.2. ☐ received in Application No. (\$\frac{1}{2}\$)	Selles Code / Collai Francisco	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).
2.☐ received in Application No. (Some serior of the serio	e application from the certified co	pies not received.	
* See the attached detailed Office action 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim	im for domestic priority unde	1 35 0.0.0. \$ 115(5)	
14) Acknowledgerion is			
Attachment(s)	18)	Interview Summary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)
\(\sigma\)	40.	Notice of Informal Pale	III Application (· · · · · · /
15) Notice of References Cited (P10-092) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	20) L	Other:	Part of Paper

Application/Control Number: 09/382,442

. Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, the phrase "the semiconductor" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 26-32, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lisenker et al., PCT WO 94/19829.

Lisenker et al. shows the invention substantially as claimed including forming a MOS based device whereby deuterium is employed at various stages of the process to, for instance, gate oxides, field oxides, and various other oxide layers (see page 4, lines 24-32). In addition, deuterium can be employed in many processes (see page 8, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/382,442

30-35). In fact, deuterium can be used in any process in which hydrogen or a hydrogen . Art Unit: 2812

containing compound is used. Lisenker et al. lacks anticipation of specifically performing the deuterium processes on a flash memory device. However, a flash memory device is a MOS based device and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the deuterium processing disclosed in the primary reference of Lisenker et al. on a flash memory device because the beneficial results would also occur on a flash memory. Furthermore, the examiner takes official notice that the process steps claimed are well known in the art hydrogen based processes and would have been prima facie obvious to replace the hydrogen with deuterium for the reasons suggested by Lisenker et al..

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lisenker et al. as applied to claims 1-5, 7-14, 26-32, and 35-38 above, and further in view of Aomori et al., U.S. Patent 5,504,020.

Lisenker et al. is applied as above but lacks anticipation of defining the source and drain using deuterium implantation.

Aomori et al. discloses performing hydrogen implantation using the gate as a mask to simultaneously perform source and drain implantation and hydrogenation which leads to a stable substrate interface (see column 9, line 65 – column 10, line 14 and abstract). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the process disclosed by Aomori et al. using deuterium instead of hydrogen because the overall processing steps Application/Control Number: 09/382,442

. Art Unit: 2812

are reduced and deuterium has beneficial results compared to hydrogen as advanced by Linsenker et al..

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

Richard A. Booth Art Unit 2812